



# **TOWN OF CLAREMONT**

## **ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING**

### **MINUTES**

**TUESDAY 19 SEPTEMBER, 2017**

**Liz Ledger**

**CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

**Date:**

## **DISCLAIMER**

Would all members of the public please note that they are cautioned against taking any action as a result of a Council decision tonight until such time as they have seen a copy of the Minutes or have been advised, in writing, by the Council's Administration with regard to any particular decision.

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE NO
1	DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS .....	1
2	RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE .....	1
3	DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS .....	1
4	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE .	2
5	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME .....	2
6	PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME .....	2
7	APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE .....	2
8	PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS .....	2
9	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS .....	2
10	ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC .....	3
11	BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING .....	3
12	REPORTS OF COMMITTEES.....	4
	12.1 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE .....	4
	12.1.1 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE - MEETING FREQUENCY .....	4
	12.1.2 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING 24 AUGUST ITEMS .....	7
13	REPORTS OF THE CEO.....	11
	13.1 PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT .....	11
	13.1.1 STIRLING HIGHWAY TOWN PLANNING SCHEME NO. 3 AMENDMENTS 136, 137 AND 138 .....	11
	13.2 CORPORATE AND GOVERNANCE.....	24
	13.2.1 LIST OF PAYMENTS 1 TO 31 AUGUST 2017 .....	24
	13.2.2 MONTHLY STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 JULY 2017 .....	26

---

---

14 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON..... 30

15 ELECTED MEMBERS’ MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS  
BEEN GIVEN..... 30

16 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE APPROVED BY THE  
PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION OF MEETING ..... 30

17 FUTURE MEETINGS OF COUNCIL ..... 30

18 DECLARATION OF CLOSURE OF MEETING ..... 31

---

**TOWN OF CLAREMONT**  
**ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING**  
**19 SEPTEMBER, 2017**  
**MINUTES**

---

**1 DECLARATION OF OPENING/ANNOUNCEMENT OF VISITORS**

His Worship the Mayor, Mr Jock Barker, welcomed members of the public, staff and Councillors and declared the meeting open at 7:00PM.

**2 RECORD OF ATTENDANCE/APOLOGIES/LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

<b>Mayor Barker</b>	
<b>Cr Bruce Haynes</b>	<b>East Ward</b>
<b>Cr Kate Main</b>	<b>East Ward</b>
<b>Cr Alastair Tulloch</b>	<b>East Ward</b>
<b>Cr Jill Goetze</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
<b>Cr Paul Kelly</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
<b>Cr Chris Mews</b>	<b>South Ward</b>
<b>Cr Peter Edwards</b>	<b>West Ward</b>
<b>Cr Karen Wood</b>	<b>West Ward</b>

**Ms Liz Ledger (Chief Executive Officer)**

**Mr Les Crichton (Executive Manager Corporate and Governance)**

**Ms Cathy Bohdan (Executive Manager People and Places)**

**Mr Saba Kirupananther (Executive Manager Infrastructure)**

**Mr John Humphreys (Acting Executive Manager Planning and Development)**

**Ms Danielle Uniza (Senior Governance and Risk Advisor)**

**Ms Sarah Hingston (Governance Officer)**

**Two members of the public**

**Two members of the press**

**APOLOGIES**

Cr Browne has approved Leave of Absences for the 19 September 2017 and 3 October 2017 Ordinary Council Meetings.

**3 DISCLOSURE OF INTERESTS**

NIL

---

**4 RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE**

NIL

**5 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME**

**Ms Judy Paish, 2 Deakin Street, Swanbourne**  
**Re: Swanbourne Station Study**

**Q1.** *Does the Swanbourne Station Study include the Swanbourne shopping centre on the North side of the railway line?*

Yes.

**Q2.** *Does the Swanbourne Station Study include the Planning Study for the Swanbourne Local Centre?*

The different names used are all an iteration of the same thing. While planning consultants undertaking the study on behalf of the Town refer to it as the Swanbourne Local Centre Study, it has also been referred to as Swanbourne Station Study. Both names refer to the same thing.

**Q3.** *Referring back to my question presented at the last Council meeting, why was there not a public notice about the Swanbourne Station Study Meeting on the local noticeboard at Swanbourne?*

The noticeboard in Swanbourne has not been used by the Town for some time and is currently under review.

**6 PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME**

NIL

**7 APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

NIL

**8 PETITIONS/DEPUTATIONS/PRESENTATIONS**

NIL

**9 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETINGS**

**Moved Cr Tulloch, seconded Cr Mews**

**That the minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 5 September 2017 be confirmed.**

**CARRIED(136/17)**  
**(NO DISSENT)**

---

**10 ANNOUNCEMENT OF CONFIDENTIAL MATTERS FOR WHICH MEETING  
MAY BE CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC**

NIL

**11 BUSINESS NOT DEALT WITH FROM A PREVIOUS MEETING**

NIL

---

## 12 REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

### 12.1 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### 12.1.1 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE - MEETING FREQUENCY

<b>File Ref:</b>	<b>GOV/0051-02</b>
<b>Responsible Officer:</b>	<b>Saba Kirupanather Executive Manager Infrastructure</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Andrew Head Manager Parks and Environment</b>
<b>Proposed Meeting Date:</b>	<b>19 September 2017</b>

---

#### **Purpose**

For Council to consider the frequency of Lake Claremont Advisory Committee (committee) Meetings from quarterly to bimonthly over the next twelve months.

#### **Background**

At the committee meeting on 24 August 2017, the LCAC resolved;

*That the Lake Claremont Advisory Committee requests Council to alter the meeting schedule from quarterly formal meetings with informal meetings between to 6 bi-monthly formal meetings per year.*

The Notice of Motion was submitted by the Chair of the LCAC for the frequency of meetings to be increased to bimonthly for the reason that Council is unable to receive the advice from the committee informal meetings. Additional formal meetings would enable Council to receive the advice for which the committee was established to provide in a timely manner.

#### **Discussion**

At the committee meeting on 24 August, officers advised the committee that considerable time goes into preparing the reports, agendas, minutes and planning and organising the meetings so as to comply with the *Local Government Act 1995* which was acknowledged by members of the Committee.

Other Advisory Committees including Claremont Town Centre, Foreshore and Freshwater Bay Museum meetings of the Council are set at quarterly and provide adequate frequency to provide suitable advice to Council.

The Chair suggested a reduction in officers present at the meeting from three down to two would help reduce demand of officer time but much of the time taken is in writing the reports, compiling the necessary attachments, agendas and completing minutes post meeting.

It was proposed by the committee that a trial period be considered by the administration for twelve months during 2018 and then be reviewed. The reason for

---

this request is to ensure Council can receive advice from the committee in a timely manner. The committee believe that three months between meetings is too long to allow regular feedback on items of interest. The current practice is for items requiring a Council decision to be reported to OCM prior to confirmation of committee minutes.

The bimonthly trial for twelve months would allow an opportunity for the administration, the committee and the Council to review the situation.

### **Past Resolutions**

There are no past resolutions relating to this matter.

### **Financial and Staff Implications**

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

### **Policy and Statutory Implications**

*Local Government Act 1995*

Lake Claremont Management Plan 2016-21

### **Communication / Consultation**

N/A.

### **Strategic Community Plan**

#### **Governance and Leadership**

*We are an open and accountable local government; a leader in community service standards.*

- Our stakeholders are well informed and we provide opportunities for community engagement.

#### **Environment**

*We are a leader in responsibly managing the built and natural environment for the enjoyment of the community and continue to demonstrate diligent environmental practices.*

- Protect and conserve the natural flora and fauna of Lake Claremont and the Foreshore.

#### **Urgency**

To plan future meeting dates of the committee.

#### **Voting Requirements**

Simple majority decision of Council required.

#### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved Cr Haynes, seconded Cr Wood**

---

**That Council support;**

- 1. A twelve-month trial of six bi-monthly Lake Claremont Advisory Committee meetings, and**
- 2. Removal of the informal committee meetings during this period.**

**CARRIED(137/17)  
(NO DISSENT)**

---

**12.1.2 LAKE CLAREMONT ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING 24 AUGUST  
ITEMS**

<b>File Ref:</b>	<b>PRK/00136</b>
<b>Attachments:</b>	Updated Lake Claremont Operational Plan Updated Location for Sports Node
<b>Responsible Officer:</b>	<b>Saba Kirupananther</b> <b>Executive Manager Infrastructure</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Andrew Head</b> <b>Manager Parks and Environment</b>
<b>Proposed Meeting Date:</b>	<b>19 September 2017</b>

---

**Purpose**

For Council to receive and approve the updated Lake Claremont Operational Plan and approve proposed location and equipment type for the sports node at Lake Claremont.

**Background**

At the Lake Claremont Advisory Committee (committee) meeting on 24 August a progress report on the Lake Claremont Operational Plan 2017-18 (LCOP) was presented to update members of works being undertaken. A number of items within the LCOP were discussed. The committee resolved:

*That the Committee;*

- 1. Supports the progress of actions found within the Lake Claremont Operational Plan (LCOP) with the exception of invertebrate study not being undertaken yet;*
- 1. Recommends Council support the removal of the age nominated for Lapsley Road Playspace.*
- 2. Recommends Council support changing the lead of the Lapsley Road Playspace facility to the Chief Executive Officer and Manager of Community Development.*
- 3. Recommends Council support generally the location and equipment type for Lake Claremont Sports Node as detailed in Attachment 2.*
- 4. Recommends to Council;**
  - a. That the Lake Claremont buffer zone be declared as a dog prohibited area;***
  - b. Those formal sports areas are declared as a dog prohibited areas, except when there are no sporting events.***

**Discussion**

Category 5.1.4 Recreation Space Opportunities has been changed within the LCOP to accurately reflect the project outcomes; that being that the age previously mentioned (12+) has been removed to allow greater flexibility of the age group for

---

proposed play equipment. The design will be submitted to LCAC and OCM for consideration in November/December. The lead officers for the project have been changed to Chief Executive Officer and Community Development Manager. The changes have been updated in the attached LCOP to this report.

The LCAC also reviewed proposed location of sports node equipment which is going to be installed in the new year and requested relocation of the basketball and netball pad further west (closer to the lake) to reduce noise to nearby residents. This also has taken into consideration the separation from the proposed AFL, Rugby and Soccer Goal combination to minimise conflict between the separate activities. Attached is a map of the proposed change to equipment locations.

The issue of dog exercise as recommended below will be considered as part of the report on the review of the Dogs in Public Places Policy LV127.

### **Past Resolutions**

*Ordinary Council Meeting on 4 July 2017 (108/17):*

*That*

- 1. Council notes the Lake Claremont Operational Plan 2017-18;*
- 2. Council does not accept the recommendation of the Lake Claremont Advisory Committee to fence the off lead dog exercise area;*
- 3. Council does not accept the recommendation of the Lake Claremont Advisory Committee to remove Item 5 - Play Facility from the draft budget list; and*
- 4. Council supports the planting of some large shade trees beside the path on the eastern side of the Lake on the condition that the plantings are very well spaced so they do not form an impediment to viewing of the lake from the pathway.*

*CARRIED*

### **Financial and Staff Implications**

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

### **Policy and Statutory Implications**

*Bushfire Act 1954*

*Environmental Protection Act 1986*

*Wildlife Protection Act 1950*

Lake Claremont Management Plan 2016-21

Lake Claremont Operational Plan 2017-18

### **Communication / Consultation**

Updated LCOP on the website.

Localised letter drop informing nearby residents regarding the play space and sports node equipment prior to installation.

Town Talk article once equipment is installed

---

## **Strategic Community Plan**

### **Governance and Leadership**

*We are an open and accountable local government; a leader in community service standards.*

- Our stakeholders are well informed and we provide opportunities for community engagement.

### **Liveability**

*We are an accessible community, with well maintained and managed assets. Our heritage is preserved for the enjoyment of the community.*

- Provide clean, usable, attractive, accessible streetscapes and public open spaces.
- Develop the public realm as gathering spaces for participation and enjoyment.
- Maintain and upgrade the Town's assets for seamless day to day usage.

### **People**

*We live in an accessible and safe community that welcomes diversity, enjoys being active and has a strong sense of belonging.*

- Effectively manage and enhance the Town's community facilities in response to a growing community.
- Facilitate opportunities for social participation, health, learning and inclusion through programmed activities and events.
- Provide opportunities for the local community groups that support their capacity and ongoing sustainability.

### **Environment**

*We are a leader in responsibly managing the built and natural environment for the enjoyment of the community and continue to demonstrate diligent environmental practices.*

- Protect and conserve the natural flora and fauna of Lake Claremont and the Foreshore.

### **Urgency**

To allow progress of the projects as planned during this financial year.

### **Voting Requirements**

Simple majority decision of Council required.

---

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved Cr Haynes, seconded Cr Kelly**

**That Council endorses;**

- 1. The updated Lake Claremont Operational Plan 2017-18 with the following changes**
  - a. Removal of the age nominated for Lapsley Road Playspace.**
  - b. Changing the lead of the Lapsley Road Playspace facility to the Chief Executive Officer and Manager of Community Development.**
- 2. The location and type of sport equipment proposed for the sports node as detailed in Attachment 2.**

**CARRIED(138/17)  
(NO DISSENT)**

---

## 13 REPORTS OF THE CEO

### 13.1 PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

#### 13.1.1 STIRLING HIGHWAY TOWN PLANNING SCHEME NO. 3 AMENDMENTS 136, 137 AND 138

<b>File Ref:</b>	<b>LND/00017, LND/00018 &amp; LND/00119</b>
<b>Attachments:</b>	Stirling Highway Local Development Plan 2016 Stirling Highway – Draft Local Planning Policy and Design Guidelines
<b>Responsible Officer:</b>	<b>David Vinicombe</b> <b>Executive Manager Planning and Development</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>David Vinicombe</b> <b>Executive Manager Planning and Development</b>
<b>Proposed Meeting Date:</b>	<b>19 September 2017</b>
<b>Enabling Legislation:</b>	<b><i>Planning and Development Act 2005 (PDA)</i></b> <b><i>Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (LPS Regs)</i></b> <b>Housing Capacity Study 2013</b> <b>Residential Design Codes (RDC)</b> <b>Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (TPS3)</b>

---

#### **Purpose**

For Council to consider initiation of amendments to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 (TPS3) to reflect Council's Stirling Highway Local Development Plan.

#### **Summary**

- Council's Housing Capacity Study (2013) recommended that the Town prepare a study into increasing residential density along Stirling Highway to address the Town's long term obligations to meet State Government objectives for urban consolidation, while at the same time protecting the single residential streetscape and heritage characteristics of the Town.
  - The Town appointed Planning Context to prepare the Stirling Highway Study (SH Study) in 2013.
  - The SH Study analysed the potential for increased residential density on land adjoining Stirling Highway.
  - Four development models were prepared for Council consideration as a Local Development Plan (LDP) to guide the preparation of an amendment to TPS3, a Local Planning Policy and associated Structure Plan / Activity Centre Plan.
-

- The Models analysed in the SH Study include Progressive (1530 additional dwellings), Modest (1198-1339 additional dwellings), Conservative (1048-1263 additional dwellings) and Staged (1218-1322 additional dwellings).
  - It was recommended to Council on 15 March 2016 that the Staged Model be adopted for the purpose of public consultation together with “designated landmark” sites in the Western Residential Precinct. The Staged Model proposes:
    - R100 for the Eastern Highway Precinct
    - R-AC0 for the Central Town Centre Precinct
    - Retention of the current density codings (R30 and R40) and no changes for the Western Residential Precinct (with exception to the “designated landmark” sites) to preserve long term development opportunity into the next century.
  - Public consultation on the SH Study was undertaken in accordance with the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (LPS Regs) for a period of 28 days up until 6 May 2016.
  - The SH Study contains recommendations for a detailed TPS3 amendment and Local Planning Policy proposals (subject to separate consultation).
  - Council approved the Stirling Highway Local Development Plan on 5 July 2016.
  - Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) Amendment for Stirling Highway (Major Amendment No. 1210/41) has now been gazetted – 9 June 2017. In accordance with the *Planning and Development Act 2005*, Council has 90 days in which to progress zoning and development requirements for the land previously contained in the Primary Regional Road (PRR) reservation and now included as Urban land under the MRS.
  - It is proposed that TPS3 be amended in three parts to reflect proposals contained in the Stirling Highway LDP:
    - Initiate Amendment No. 136 for the Highway West. The amendment proposals for this area are simply infilling the Urban land with the adjoining zoning and density codings under TPS3. It is noted that this portion of the LDP was not assigned higher density codings as it was strategically considered desirable to preserve this area for re-development in the long term future at densities to be prescribed at that time.
    - Initiate Amendment No. 137 for the Highway Town Centre. This amendment is also simply adopting the adjoining zonings which will be subject to detailed planning associated with the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan for the Town Centre. The Activity Centre Plan will be prepared by Council in the next half of the financial year. It is proposed that once initiated, the Town will hold back with advertising this amendment to coincide with advertising of the Activity Centre Plan.
    - Identify Amendment No. 138 for the Highway East. This amendment is more complex than the other two. It involves major changes to Scheme
-

provisions and Policy proposals which were earmarked in the Stirling Highway LDP, but have not been reviewed since or road tested. When Council adopted the LDP, it requested that further public consultation be undertaken prior to final amendment proposals being developed for the initiation of an amendment. As these proposals require a concerted effort to review before consultation, it has been requested that the requirement to initiate this amendment be extended to early 2018.

### **Past Resolutions**

In November 2012, Council adopted the Housing Capacity Study to identify constraints and opportunities relating to the housing targets including Directions 2031 (and beyond) and the Draft Central Metropolitan Perth Sub-Regional Strategy (CMPSS).

Ordinary Council Meeting 20 November 2012, Resolution No. 221/12 includes the following pertinent extracts:

*That Council resolve as follows:*

1. *To adopt the Draft Housing Capacity Study 2012 for the Town of Claremont for inclusion in the review of the Town of Claremont's Local Planning Strategy 2010 – 2025, Clearly Claremont.*
2. *The Town of Claremont work toward implementing the 12 recommendations contained in the Housing Capacity Study 2012 as follows:*
  - 2.7 *Council lead in progressing a suitable commercial and residential zoning and density coding along Stirling Highway to ensure that in drafting a Local Scheme Amendment to reflect Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment 1210/41, consideration be given to the introduction of a split form of R Coding such as R40/R80 and a strong set of scheme provisions, policies and design guidelines are introduced to control redevelopment and protect local heritage sites and the amenities of lower density surrounding areas.*
  - 2.8 *Council prepare an Activity Centre Structure Plan for the Claremont Town Centre which includes provisions encouraging additional residential dwellings including mixed use developments and give consideration to the creation of additional opportunities for residential development in walkable catchment of the Town Centre once formally defined.*

Council Meeting resolved on 15 March 2016, Resolution No. 37/16 as follows:

*THAT Council:*

- a) *Pursuant to Part 6 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, adopt the draft 'Planning for Increased Residential Density along Stirling Highway' study for public consultation as a proposed Local Development Plan including endorsement of the Staged Model as the preferred option plus the identified "designated landmark" site at the north western corner of Stirling Highway and Stirling Road and the St*
-

*Louis Village site to guide future residential development along Stirling Highway.*

- b) Advertise the draft 'Planning for Increased Residential Density along Stirling Highway' study for a period of 28 days.*
- c) Require a further report being prepared for Council consideration following completion of the consultation period.*

Council Meeting resolved on 5 July 2016, Resolution No. 100/16 as follows:

*THAT Council:*

- a) Pursuant to Part 6 of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015, approve the draft 'Planning for Increased Residential Density along Stirling Highway' study as a Local Development Plan to guide the proposed amendment to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 and associated Local Planning Policy to promote the development of land in the proximity of Stirling Highway with the following modifications:*
  - i) Formally name the 'Planning for Increased Residential Density along Stirling Highway' study as the 'Stirling Highway Local Development Plan'.*
  - ii) Endorsement of the Staged Model as the development option until such time as the Local Development Plan is reviewed by Council.*
  - iii) Include the St Louis Estate Retirement Village as an identified "designated landmark" site which is subject to the preparation of a master plan which informs the development of a separate Local Development Plan to identify and address specific development requirements for the site and to guide any future amendment to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 and/or Local Planning Policy.*
  - iv) The inclusion of 2 Richardson Avenue in the Western Residential Precinct subject to formal reconsideration when the Stirling Highway Local Development Plan is reviewed to progress proposals to include the Western Residential Precinct at the R80 density.*
- b) On gazettal of Metropolitan Region Scheme Amendment No. 1210/41, prepare a report to initiate an amendment to Town Planning Scheme No 3 to reflect the adopted proposals contained in the Stirling Highway Local Development Plan and detail final proposals for any associated Local Planning Policy.*
- (c) Upon presentation of a master plan to guide development on the St Louis Estate Retirement Village site to the satisfaction of Council, the Western Australian Planning Commission be requested to provide approval for the preparation of a Local Development Plan for the site which links in with the Stirling Highway Local Development Plan.*

## **Background**

The report to Council detailed the significant strategic background to the formulation of the SH Study. A brief summary is provided for the purposes of this report.

---

### MRS Amendment No. 1210/41

The Stirling Highway Activity Corridor Study (SHACS) is an integrated transport and land use planning study being undertaken by the Department of Planning (DoP) at the request of the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) and consists of two inter-related and staged studies:

- Phase 1: A preliminary carriageway design for Stirling Highway (MRS Amendment No. 1210/41 - Rationalisation of Stirling Highway Reservation).
- Phase 2: A staged urban design and form based code study to guide built form and redevelopment opportunities in a sustainable planned manner (yet to be progressed).

MRS Amendment No. 1210/41 - Rationalisation of Stirling Highway Reservation, which was gazetted on 9 June 2017, provides for a reduction of the Primary Regional Road (PRR) reservation to approximately 40 metres in width with the balance of the land proposed to be rezoned as Urban (and Parks and Recreation for a small section between Bay View Terrace and Bernard Street).

As MRS Amendment No. 1210/41 is gazetted, land no longer affected by the PRR reservation will be zoned Urban under the MRS but will remain unzoned under TPS3 until such time as a zone (and density codings) are added by way of a scheme amendment.

It was considered appropriate that Council takes the initiative to develop its own set of design guidelines and other planning tools rather than await finalisation of Phase 2 of SHACS.

This report has been based on the proposed extent of the Stirling Highway reservation as shown in the MRS Amendment No. 1210/41 plans advertised for public comment, together with the remaining parcels of land which are presently zoned or reserved under TPS3. It is noted that the MRS amendment has slightly modified the proposed PRR reservation (reduced to approximately 38m), however this will not impact on the intent or the outcomes of the SH Study.

### State Government Direction

The State Government has prepared a number of strategies to promote a balance between urban growth on the fringe and consolidation within the existing urban fabric of the metropolitan area. In recent times a number of strategic directional documents have been prepared, inclusive of Directions 2031 (and Beyond), Draft Central Metropolitan Perth Sub-Regional Strategy (CMPSS), Directions 2031 (and Beyond) - 2014 Report Card and Perth & Peel @ 3.5 Million (draft). The expectation is that local government (Town of Claremont included) will take positive action to support this direction and it is likely that the government will require changes to any proposals where they are not seen to be supporting these growth strategies.

---

Most recently, Perth & Peel @ 3.5 Million (draft) proposes that the Town to accommodate 1300 additional dwellings in the Town by 2050. This target appears to include the Directions 2031 Report Card target of 760 dwellings, but is less than the original target of 2200 contained in the Directions 2031 and Beyond / CMPSS proposals.

Discussions with the DoP officers when finalising the Housing Strategy for the Town indicated that the base (before Directions 2031 / CMPSS) calculation included 630 dwellings in the NEP. It is envisaged that with increased development yields (22-25%) at the NEP, 1000 dwellings will be accommodated within that development alone (370 dwellings more than the base 630 dwellings). It is therefore estimated that the revised future growth target for the Town of 1300, will consist of:

- 370 in the NEP
- 930 elsewhere.

### Stirling Highway Local Development Plan

Details of the SH LDP adopted by Council in March 2016 include the following:

- The study area includes land on both sides of Stirling Highway, within the Town of Claremont boundaries generally to a depth of the largest existing lot within each street block and following cadastral boundaries. The study area contains 146 properties and has been separated into three distinct precincts including:
    1. Western Residential Precinct (generally land on both sides of the Highway to west of Stirling Road typified by uses of a residential nature and two private school campuses, with a noticeable absence of commercial activity).
    2. Central Town Centre Precinct (generally land on both sides of the Highway between the commercial development on the west side of Stirling Road and west of Mary Street, comprising of retail, commercial and civic activity).
    3. Eastern Highway Precinct (generally land on both sides of the Highway east of Mary Street and is a mix of other commercial-type activity traditionally associated with strip highway development, with some interspersed residential activity).
  - Following review of available background information (for example heritage listings, previous studies, proposed road reservations, height data, land contours, existing development) and identification of the notional study area, an on-site assessment and photography of building stock was undertaken to determine the likelihood and timing of redevelopment in the foreseeable future.
  - An assessment of each property was undertaken within the study area to determine the likelihood and timing of redevelopment in the foreseeable future using a range of factors considered as either likely to encourage or be more challenging for redevelopment, and scores were applied to each category.
  - The suggested density codings contained in the SH LDP were developed through a process that worked back from the built form to ensure a good
-

match between the chosen R-Code and an appropriate built form for each precinct.

- Four options were presented and analysed for the three precincts as detailed below. It is noted that total yields include an allowance of approximately 400 dwellings for the Amana (Sundowner) site, which has been the subject of a separate amendment to TPS3:
  1. Progressive Model
    - Western Residential Precinct – R80
    - Central Town Centre Precinct – R-AC0
    - Eastern Highway Precinct – R100
    - Total dwelling yield – 1,130 (plus 400 for Amana) – 1530
  2. Modest Model
    - Western Residential Precinct – R60
    - Central Town Centre Precinct – R-AC0
    - Eastern Highway Precinct – R80
    - Total dwelling yield – 939 (plus 400 for Amana) – 1339
    - Note – taking into account the reduced incentive to develop, yield may be reduced to 798 (plus 400) - 1198
  3. Conservative Model
    - Western Residential Precinct – R40
    - Central Town Centre Precinct – R-AC0
    - Eastern Highway Precinct – R60
    - Total dwelling yield – 863 (plus 400 for Amana) – 1263
    - Note – taking into account the reduced incentive to develop, yield may be reduced to 648 (plus 400) - 1048
  4. Staged Model (as per the Progressive Model for the Central Town Centre and Eastern Highway Precincts)
    - Western Residential Precinct – No change (retain R30 and R40)
    - Central Town Centre Precinct – R-AC0
    - Eastern Highway Precinct – R100
    - Total dwelling yield – 922 (plus 400 for Amana) – 1322
    - Note – taking into account the reduced incentive to develop, yield may be reduced to 818 (plus 400) - 1218

It is proposed that the R-AC zone (as referred to at times in the SH Study) be classed as R-AC0 which requires preparation of a Structure Plan (or possible Activity Centre Plan) to guide development in the Central Town Centre Precinct.

- The consultants recommend the Progressive Model as the most desirable and viable option for the following reasons:
    - *It provides sufficient incentive, flexibility and viability for landowners to initiate development.*
    - *It is more likely to result in higher quality development.*
    - *Lower densities may pose risk of seeing less redevelopment occur, or seeing interim development in a form that may be of lower quality.*
-

- *It more than satisfies the State Government's housing target well into the future.*
  - To support the recommendation for the Progressive Model, the SH LDP includes a detailed assessment of TPS provisions and makes specific recommendations for a scheme amendment and Local Planning Policy Design Guidelines (attached). In summary, the requirements outline expectations on land-use and density, plot ratio, access, parking, overshadowing, heights and setbacks, building amenity, fencing, services, heritage, and provide details for proposed scheme amendments to address these matters. In addition, Design Guidelines are recommended to address a number of these issues, plus street interface, building form, landscaping and public art and precinct specific objectives and requirements.
  - The Progressive Model, proposes development requirements to create a "street wall" along both sides of the Highway with development up to four storeys high, or six storeys for key "designated landmark" corner sites, with an additional two storeys setback 3m from the "street wall" (eight storeys), except in the Town Centre where Structure Plan / Activity Centre Plan requirements will be developed to support specific Town Centre development opportunities; or where development overshadows adjacent residential property or development on the northern side of the Highway overshadows the footpath on the southern side of the Highway. Height is also proposed to be restricted to three storey "street walls" (with 3m setback additional two storeys) where development fronts commercial side streets or two storey "street walls" (with 3m setback to an additional one storey – three storeys) to residential side streets. Development will also be limited to two storeys where a site abuts a residential property not located in the study area.
  - "Designated landmark" sites are defined as large prominent corner sites including Amana (Sundowner) on the corner Airlie Street as an entry point to the Town from the south; the north western corner of Stirling Highway and Stirling Road as a western entry to the town centre; Bayview Centre on the corner of Leura Avenue as the eastern entry to the town centre; and north western corner of Loch Street as the eastern entry point to the Town. It is noted that the Sundowner site has since been rezoned under TPS3 and requires no further amendment at this point.
  - The pre-existing commercial nature of the Central Town Centre and Eastern Highway Precincts and ground floor (and above) commercial development will be required to be maintained with special considerations to accommodate mixed use development.
  - Preliminary concept plans have been prepared as an example for a mixed use development in the Eastern Highway Precinct (see Attachment – Restricted). This (annotated) concept takes into account many of the design concepts proposed by the SH LDP and the draft Design Guidelines to illustrate how the "street wall" development may be accommodated within the existing PRR reserve, and how the impact on residential property to the south may be ameliorated within the current "Highway" zone development requirements on height (max. 12m) etc.
-

- The SH LDP is considered to be one of the most important strategic planning documents to be considered by the Town to respond to State Government objectives whilst at the same time protecting the Town's single residential streetscapes and heritage characteristics. It is important that the Council sets clear direction on which Model to implement before finalising the scheme amendment and policy proposals.
- The SH LDP assesses the advantages and disadvantages of each Model and indicated the two preferred Models are the Progressive and Staged Models, both of which provide appropriate incentives for development. Council endorse the Staged Model which focuses on increasing the density in the Central Town Centre and Eastern Highway Precincts, while retaining the Western Residential Precinct in its current form for future consideration. This option provides for the future proofing of population growth into the next century, while at the same time ensuring that an oversupply of developable land in the foreseeable future does not occur to the detriment of local amenity. A minor variation to this recommendation is the inclusion of the "designated landmark" site at the St Louis Retirement Village Estate site, which is subject to master planning to accommodate long term redevelopment.
- The Staged Model option will generate landowner/developer interest in redevelopment in the town centre and the east end of Claremont with a focus on mixed-use development to compliment the town centre.
- It is likely that the proposed scale of development may be more acceptable to the community in the Central Town Centre and Eastern Highway Precinct compared to the Western Residential Precinct as there is already a mix of uses and built forms.

### **Consultation**

The draft SH Study Local Development Plan was advertised for public comment in the Public Notices section of the Post newspaper and on the Town's website for a period of 28 in accordance with the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (LPS Regs) up until 6 May 2016.

Three submissions were received from planning consultants representing landowners as summarised in the submission table below. Full copies of the submissions are attached to this report.

When adopting the SH LDP, Council separately noted that the detailed amendment proposals (particularly for the eastern sector) required preliminary public engagement to ascertain community views on the proposals prior to finalizing amendment and policy provisions for advertising. While this has not been undertaken to date, it is noted that proposals contained in the western and central sectors are significantly less detailed and may be initiated without further delay. This is because, the western sector proposals filling in the Urban land with the adjoining zoning and density codings under TPS3 (It is noted that this portion of the LDP was not assigned higher density codings as it was strategically considered desirable to preserve this area for re-development in the long term future at densities to be prescribed at that time). The central sector also involves simply adopting the adjoining zonings which will be

---

subject to detailed planning associated with the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan for the Town Centre. The Activity Centre Plan will be prepared by Council in the next half of the financial year. It is proposed that once this amendment is initiated, the Town will hold back with advertising this amendment to coincide with advertising of the Activity Centre Plan.

### **Discussion**

As indicated above, the proposed amendments may be separated into three distinct amendments as follows:

1. Initiate Amendment No. 136 for the Highway West. This land is contained in the Western Residential Precinct of the SH LDP (generally land on both sides of the Highway to west of Stirling Road typified by uses of a residential nature and two private school campuses, with a noticeable absence of commercial activity). The amendment proposals for this area are simply infilling the Urban land with the adjoining zoning and density codings under TPS3. It is noted that this portion of the SH LDP was not assigned higher density codings as it was strategically considered desirable to preserve this area for re-development in the long term future at densities to be prescribed at that time. The amendment does not include The St Louis Estate Retirement Village site as this is subject to separate Masterplan LDP and Amendment considerations. The amendment does not also include 355 Stirling Highway (Lot 1) at the intersection with Stirling Road as this property is to be considered under Amendment No. 137 and is also subject to the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan for the Town Centre.
  2. Initiate Amendment No. 137 for the Highway Town Centre. This land is contained in the Central Town Centre Precinct of the SH LDP (generally land on both sides of the Highway between (and including) the commercial development on the west side of Stirling Road (Lot 1) and west of Mary Street (Lot 505) 301 Stirling Highway, comprising retail and commercial activity). This amendment is also simply adopting the adjoining zonings which will be subject to detailed planning associated with the preparation of an Activity Centre Plan for the Town Centre. The Activity Centre Plan will be prepared by Council in the next half of the financial year. It is proposed that once initiated, the Town will hold back with advertising this amendment to coincide with advertising of the Activity Centre Plan.
  3. Identify Amendment No. 138 for the Highway East. This land is contained in the Eastern Highway Precinct of the SH LDP (generally land on both sides of the Highway east of Mary Street and consisting of a mix of other commercial-type activity traditionally associated with strip highway development, with some interspersed residential activity. This amendment is more complex than the other two. It involves major changes to Scheme provisions and Policy proposals which were identified in the SH LDP, but have not been reviewed since or road tested. When Council adopted the Stirling Highway LDP, it requested that further public consultation be undertaken prior to final amendment proposals being developed for the initiation of an amendment. As these proposals require a concerted effort to review before consultation, it has been requested that the requirement to initiate this amendment be extended to early 2018.
-

## Financial and Staff Implications

The TPS3 amendments provide a strategic direction for Council to consider development of apartments on land abutting Stirling Highway. While the detailed amendment and associated Local Development Plan, Activity Centre Plan / Structure Plan and Local Planning Policies together with consideration of development applications which may result will require considerable staff resourcing, development applications will ultimately be determined by the Metropolitan West Joint Development Assessment Panel on recommendation from and behalf of Council. Once land has been developed, the final yield will assist Council's rates revenue and the development of community facilities for the betterment of all residents in the Town and the surrounding localities.

## Policy and Statutory Implications

Section 75 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005* (PD Act) permits Council to amend its current Town Planning Scheme as stated below:

### 75. *Amending scheme*

*A local government may amend a local planning scheme with reference to any land within its district, or with reference to land within its district and other land within any adjacent district, by an amendment —*

- (a) *prepared by the local government, approved by the Minister and published in the Gazette; or*
- (b) *proposed by all or any of the owners of any land in the scheme area, adopted, with or without modifications, by the local government, approved by the Minister and published in the Gazette.*

Amendments are required to be undertaken in accordance with Part 5 of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* (LPS Regs). This amendment is classed as 'standard' based on the following criteria as set out in the LPS Regs:

- a) The amendment will make the scheme consistent with a region planning scheme, and is not a basic amendment.
- b) The amendment would have minimal impact on land in the scheme area that is not the subject of the amendment.
- c) The amendment does not result in any significant environmental, social, economic or governance impacts on land in the scheme area.

If the amendment is formally initiated, documentation will be referred to the Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) for environmental assessment and permission to advertise. Once this is received the amendment will be advertised in accordance with the TP Regs for a period of not less than 42 days. Any submissions received will be addressed in a second report where Council will consider these submissions and determine whether to finalise the amendment (with or without modifications) or to not proceed. The amendment will then be forwarded to the Minister for Planning for consideration of approval and gazettal.

---

A decision by Council to refuse to initiate a scheme amendment proposed by a landowner is not generally subject to appeal rights. In exceptional circumstances an owner may appeal to the Minister for Planning who may exercise his discretion under Section 76 of the PD Act. Under Section 76 the Minister may order the Council to initiate a Scheme Amendment.

### **Urgency**

The SH LDP is closely aligned to the MRS Amendment No. 1210/41 relating to Stirling Highway. As this Amendment is gazetted, Council is required to apply specific zonings and development requirements to progress proposals for the land zoned Urban under the MRS by initiating a scheme amendment to TPS3 within a period of 90 days.

### **Conclusion**

The proposed Scheme Amendment is supported by State and local strategic planning policy. It is recommended that Scheme Amendment Nos 136 and 137 be initiated as detailed in the Officer Recommendation and that initiation of Amendment No. 138 be held in abeyance until further investigation and preliminary public consultation is carried out with regard to the proposed TPS3 and Policy provisions.

### **Voting Requirements**

Simple majority decision of Council required.

### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved Cr Haynes, seconded Cr Mews**

**That Council:**

- 1. Initiate Scheme Amendment No. 136 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 in order to:**
    - a) Zone all unzoned parcels of Urban land north west and south east of Stirling Highway to the west of the Stirling Road/Queenslea Drive intersection excluding 355 Stirling Highway (cnr Stirling Road) to “Residential”, “Educational” or “Special Development” zone to match the adjoining zoning of the remainder of the property and apply the associated R-Codings of R30 and R40 to the Residential zones.**
    - b) Advise the Minister for Planning that Amendment No. 136 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 is a standard amendment as it will make the Scheme consistent with the Metropolitan Region Scheme.**
    - c) Amend the Scheme Map accordingly.**
  - 2. Initiate Scheme Amendment No. 137 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 in order to:**
    - a) Zone all unzoned parcels of Urban land north and south of Stirling Highway to the east of the Stirling Road/Queenslea Drive intersection (including 355 Stirling Highway (cnr Stirling Road) and to the west of Mary Street to “Town Centre”.**
-

- b) Rezone the remainder of 355 Stirling Highway (cnr Stirling Road) from “Special Zone Restricted Use” to “Town Centre”, 2 Queenslea Drive (Lot 2) from “Highway” to “Town Centre”, 1 Freshwater Parade (Lot 203) from “Special Zone Restricted Use” to “Town Centre”, 2 Freshwater Parade (Lot 17), 322 to 324 Stirling Highway (Lots 11, 10, 9, 8 and 7) and 57 Bay View Terrace (Lot 12) from “Highway” from “Highway” to “Town Centre”.
  - c) Advise the Minister for Planning that Amendment No. 137 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 is a standard amendment as it will make the scheme consistent with the Metropolitan Region Scheme.
  - d) Amend the Scheme Map accordingly.
3. Authorise the Mayor and the Chief Executive Officer to endorse the Scheme Amendment documents.
  4. Forward a copy of the Amendment documentation to:
    - (a) The Environmental Protection Authority in accordance with Section 81 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*;
    - (b) The Western Australian Planning Commission for information.
  5. On receipt of advice from the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 48A of the *Environmental Protection Act* indicating that the Amendment need not be subject to an environmental assessment, advertise Amendment No. 136 in accordance with the *Town Planning Regulations* for not less than 42 days.
  6. On receipt of advice from the Environmental Protection Authority under Section 48A of the *Environmental Protection Act* indicating that the Amendment need not be subject to an environmental assessment, and upon finalisation of proposals for the Town Centre Activity Centre Plan, advertise the Activity Centre Plan and Amendment No. 137 proposals in accordance with the *Town Planning Regulations* for not less than 42 days.
  7. Defer initiation of Amendment No. 138 to Town Planning Scheme No. 3 relative to properties located in the Eastern Highway Precinct of the Stirling Highway Local Development Plan to allow further consideration of specific development provisions and public engagement be undertaken.

CARRIED(139/17)  
(NO DISSENT)

---

## 13.2 CORPORATE AND GOVERNANCE

*Item 13.2.1 and Item 13.2.2 were carried en bloc.*

### 13.2.1 LIST OF PAYMENTS 1 TO 31 AUGUST 2017

<b>File Ref:</b>	<b>FIM/00062-03</b>
<b>Attachments:</b>	Schedule of Payments Purchase Card Transactions for August 2017
<b>Responsible Officer:</b>	<b>Les Crichton</b> <b>Executive Manager Corporate and Governance</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Edwin Kwan/Fiona Li</b> <b>Senior Finance Officer/Finance Officer</b>
<b>Proposed Meeting Date:</b>	<b>19 September 2017</b>

#### Purpose

For Council to note the payments made in August 2017.

#### Background

Council has delegated to the CEO the exercise of its power to make payments from the Municipal Fund or Trust Fund.

#### Discussion

Attached is the list of all accounts paid totalling \$1,541,321.13 during the month of August 2017.

The attached schedule covers:

• Municipal Funds electronic funds transfers (EFT)	\$	971,664.34
• Municipal Fund vouchers (39544-39547)	\$	3,416.38
• Municipal Fund direct debits	\$	498,585.11
• Trust Fund electronic funds transfer (EFT)	\$	67,655.30
• Trust Fund vouchers	\$	0.00

All invoices have been verified, and all payments have been duly authorised in accordance with Council's procedures.

#### Past Resolutions

Ordinary Council Meeting 15 August 2017, Resolution 132/17:

*That Council notes all payments made for July 2017 totalling \$1,613,940.01 comprising;*

<i>Municipal Funds electronic funds transfers (EFT)</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>1,164,656.23</i>
<i>Municipal Fund vouchers (39533-39543)</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>28,575.32</i>
<i>Municipal Fund direct debits</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>399,221.78</i>
<i>Trust Fund electronic funds transfer (EFT)</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>21,486.68</i>
<i>Trust Fund vouchers</i>	<i>\$</i>	<i>0.00</i>

**Financial and Staff Implication**

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

**Policy and Statutory Implications**

*Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996, Regulations 12- 13.  
Town of Claremont Delegation Register – DA9 Payment of Accounts.*

**Communication / Consultation**

The CEO is required to present to Council a list of those payments made under delegated authority since the last list was submitted.

**Strategic Community Plan****Governance and Leadership**

*We are an open and accountable local government; a leader in community service standards.*

- Manage our finances responsibly and improve financial sustainability.

**Urgency**

Nil

**Voting Requirements**

Simple majority decision of Council required.

**OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved Cr Haynes, seconded Cr Edwards**

**That Council notes all payments made by the CEO under Delegation DA9 for August 2017 totalling \$1,541,321.13 as detailed in Attachment 1 comprising;**

<b>Municipal Funds electronic funds transfers (EFT)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>971,664.34</b>
<b>Municipal Fund vouchers (39544-39547)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>3,416.38</b>
<b>Municipal Fund direct debits</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>498,585.11</b>
<b>Trust Fund electronic funds transfer (EFT)</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>67,655.30</b>
<b>Trust Fund vouchers</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>0.00</b>

**CARRIED(140/17)  
(NO DISSENT)**

---

### 13.2.2 MONTHLY STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR THE PERIOD ENDING 31 JULY 2017

<b>File Ref:</b>	<b>FIM/0062-03</b>
<b>Attachments:</b>	Financial Report for the period ended 31 July 2017 Infrastructure Assets 2017-18 Schedule of Work
<b>Responsible Officer:</b>	<b>Les Crichton</b> <b>Executive Manager Corporate and Governance</b>
<b>Author:</b>	<b>Hitesh Hans</b> <b>Finance Manager</b>
<b>Proposed Meeting Date:</b>	<b>19 September 2017</b>

---

#### Purpose

Report recommends Council note the Statement of Financial Activity for the month ending 31 July 2017.

#### Background

The Monthly Financial Report is presented in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995* and *Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996*.

#### Discussion

The Financial Statements to 31 July 2017 represent the first month of operation within the 2017-18 financial year and compares year-to-date expenditure and revenue against the corresponding budget. The budget figures incorporate all 2016-17 carry-forwards approved as part of the June 2017 end of year report presented to council on 15 August 2017.

The closing surplus of \$15,867,928 compares favourably against the budgeted surplus of \$15,468,682. The budgeted closing surplus estimates the total (capital and operating) revenue expected at the end of July less the total expenditure expected for the period. Often the variations in timing account for much of the difference between budgeted surplus and actual reported.

As detailed below, the \$399,245 variance is made up of;

Under budget	
Operating revenue	(\$123,406)
Operating expenditure	\$316,521
Capital expenditure	\$187,814
Over Budget	
Capital revenue	<u>\$ 18,316</u>
Variance	<u>\$399,246</u>

Table 1: Operating revenue – (\$123,405) below budget.

---

	Revenue Budget	Revenue Actual	Variance
Fees and charges	\$468,136	\$335,543	(\$132,593)
Interest Earnings	\$67,559	\$35,151	(\$32,408)
Rates	\$14,040,125	\$14,081,310	\$41,185

The reasons for operating revenue variations are:

- (\$132,593) decrease in fees and charges is mainly due to timing of income from Food Act Fees and Parking Services.
- (\$32,408) decrease in interest income is due to timing.
- \$41,185 increase in rates revenue is due to inclusion of new properties and interims before rates were levied.

Important revenue indicators are:

Total rates (including arrears, ESL & other charges) are \$18.191M with collection to date of \$2.01M or 11.05%. This compares to 16.35% collection in the previous financial year for this period. The higher collection rate of previous year is due to earlier levying of rates in the 2016-17 year.

Debtors show +90 days outstanding of \$13K which is mainly relate to health and aquatic centre fees and charges. The majority of these accounts have been sent to our debt collection agency for further follow up.

Table 2: Operating expenditure - \$316,521 under budget

	Expenditure Budget	Expenditure Actual	Variance
Employee Cost	\$541,311	\$578,601	(\$37,290)
Material & Contracts	\$556,909	\$254,723	\$302,186
Utilities Charges	\$45,298	\$16,107	\$29,191
Insurance Expenses	\$88,922	\$44,636	\$44,286

Contributors to the operating expenditure variations are:

- \$37,290 in workers compensation premium payments paid ahead of budget timing,
- \$302,186 in timing difference across materials and contracts services, \$222K, consultancy \$20K and office expenses \$57K.
- \$29,191 is due to timing of utility bills
- \$44,286 is due to timing of insurance invoices.

The reduced operating expenditure is due to timing and does not represent a budget saving.

Capital revenue – \$18,316 above budget

Variance is due to receipt of new grant and contribution. Note 8 of the financial statements provides further detail.

Capital expenditure – \$187,814 under budget

As detailed within the capital works schedules (Note 10), the capital expenditure comprises;

- \$138K below budget in infrastructure works due to timing. Attachment 2 provides further detail on the projects and variance explanation.
- \$29K below budget in land and building is due to timing. Note 10 provides further detail of capital works.
- \$43K under budget on transfers to reserves due to timing of interest income on reserve investment.

**Past Resolutions**

Ordinary Council Meeting 15 August 2017, Resolution 131/17,

*That Council notes the Financial Statement of Activity for the period 1 July 2016 to 30 June 2017.*

**Financial and Staff Implications**

Resource requirements are in accordance with existing budgetary allocation.

**Policy and Statutory Implications**

*Local Government Act 1995.*

*Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996.*

**Communication / Consultation**

The Town is required to prepare and submit to Council a financial activity statement each month.

---

## **Strategic Community Plan**

### **Governance and Leadership**

*We are an open and accountable local government; a leader in community service standards.*

- Manage our finances responsibly and improve financial sustainability.
- Demonstrate a high standard of governance, accountability, management and strategic planning.

### **Urgency**

Monthly statements of financial activity must be submitted within two months after the end of the month to which the statement relates.

### **Voting Requirements**

Simple majority decision of Council required.

### **OFFICER RECOMMENDATION**

**Moved Cr Haynes, seconded Cr Edwards**

**That Council notes the Financial Statement of Activity for the period 1 July 2017 to 31 July 2017.**

**CARRIED(140/17)  
(NO DISSENT)**

---

**14 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING PERSON**

Mayor Barker advised of his attendance at the Town of Claremont Art Awards and Exhibition ('the Art Awards'). He thanked the Town for putting together an event that supports both local and Western Australian artists whilst bringing the community together.

Cr Kelly endorsed the Mayor's comments and added that a new venue be considered for the next Arts Awards due to its popularity, and increased participation from artists.

Cr Wood advised of her attendance at the Lake Claremont Open Day and the Art Awards. She recommended that the Town consider collaborating with the Good Shed next year as an option for an alternative venue for the Art Awards.

Cr Main advised of her attendance at the Lake Claremont Open Day.

Cr Goetze advised of her attendance at the Art Awards as a judge for the 225 entries. She also attended the Lake Claremont Open Day, the Claremont Town Centre Collective Christmas Workshop a tour of the Claremont Football Club (CFC) facility.

Cr Edwards advised that he also attended a tour of the new CFC facility and encouraged other Councillors to visit.

Cr Tulloch advised of his attendance at the Art Awards, and supported the idea of an alternate location for next year to promote artwork sales.

Cr Haynes advised of his attendance at the Art Awards. He formally acknowledged the staff for their work at the Lake Claremont Open Day, and extended an invitation to Bird Life Australia to the next event.

**15 ELECTED MEMBERS' MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN**

NIL

**16 NEW BUSINESS OF AN URGENT NATURE APPROVED BY THE PERSON PRESIDING OR BY DECISION OF MEETING**

NIL

**17 FUTURE MEETINGS OF COUNCIL**

Ordinary Council Meeting, 3 October 2017 at 7:00PM.

---

